IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL At NAINITAL

Civil Revision No. 43 of 2003

Mohd. Muzamil Samsi S/o Mohd. Irfan,
R/o Garhi Chowki, P.S. Qila,
District-Bareilly. ... Revisionist.

Versus

1. Judge Family Court, Udham Singh Nagar,
2. Smt. Robina W/o Mohd Muzamil,
   D/o Khurshid Ahmad,
   R/o Ward No. 13, Kasba and P.S. Kichha,
   Distt. Udham Singh Nagar. .... Respondents

Hon'ble P.C.Verma, J.

This Sri Alok Singh, learned counsel for the revisionist and Sri S.K. Mandal, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2.

This revision has been field by the revisionist against the judgment and order dated 30.05.2003 passed by the Judge, Family Court, Udham Singh Nagar in case No. 112 of 2002 under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by which the learned court below granted maintenance & Rs. 1,000/- per month for the wife and Rs. 500/- per month for the daughter.

The grounds shown in the present revision are that the revisionist was willingness to take his wife and daughter; that the revisionist has filed a Civil Suit for restitution of conjugal rights in Family Court, Bareilly prior to the above case under Section 125 Cr.P.C.; that the court below has not recorded valid reasons of the wife prior to the above case under Section 125 Cr.P.C.; that staying away from the husband; that there is no mention of earning and unemployment of the wife in the judgment; that the revisionist has no permanent source of income.

I have perused the impugned judgment. In my opinion sufficient and cogent reasons have been recorded by the learned Judge Family Court in granting maintenance. No other grounds are raised in this revision for consideration. No interference is called for in the order impugned. The maintenance awarded is reasonable.

Accordingly, the revision is dismissed. No order as to costs.

(P.C. Verma, J.)

December 8,2003:
NCM: